

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536

File:

SRC 01 182 51735 Office:

TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date: LAN 09 74003

IN RE: Petitioner

Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act,

8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

provent closely and private the control of the cont

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,

Myra X Haser

EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is engaged in the sale of pumps, casings, tubing and spare parts. It seeks to continue to employ the beneficiary in the United States as its president. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary would be employed in the United States in a managerial or executive capacity.

On appeal, counsel states that the beneficiary is no longer the only employee of the company as the firm hired a sales manager on July 1, 2001. Counsel outlines the credentials and forwards payroll records for the new sales manager. Counsel indicates that the new sales manager will receive his instructions directly from the beneficiary. Counsel indicates that most of the paperwork related to the sale of equipment is done by a staff of four person at the parent company abroad who work for the export sales department. Counsel explains that these four individuals receive instructions from the beneficiary, as to how the work is to be performed in accordance with the needs of the U.S. subsidiary.

To establish L-1 eligibility under section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L), the petitioner must demonstrate that the beneficiary, within three years preceding the beneficiary's application for admission into the United States, has been employed abroad in a qualifying managerial or executive capacity, or in a capacity involving specialized knowledge, for one continuous year by a qualifying organization and seeks to enter the United States temporarily in order to continue to render his or her services to the same employer or a subsidiary or affiliate thereof in a capacity that is managerial, executive, or involves specialized knowledge.

- 8 C.F.R. 214.2(1)(3) states that an individual petition filed on Form I-129 shall be accompanied by:
 - (i) Evidence that the petitioner and the organization which employed or will employ the alien are qualifying organizations as defined in paragraph (1)(1)(ii)(G) of this section.
 - (ii) Evidence that the alien will be employed in an executive, managerial, or specialized knowledge capacity, including a detailed description of the services to be performed.

The issue in this proceeding is whether the petitioner has established that the beneficiary has been and will be employed in a primarily managerial or executive capacity.

The petitioner was incorporated on January 13, 2000 in the State of Florida. The beneficiary entered the United States on March 11, 2001 on the basis of an approved L-1A nonimmigrant visa petition valid from June 1, 2000 to June 1, 2001. The petitioner now seeks to extend the petition's validity and the beneficiary's stay for an additional two years.

Section 101(a)(44)(A) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(44)(A), provides:

The term "managerial capacity" means an assignment within an organization in which the employee primarily-

- i. manages the organization, or a department, subdivision, function, or component of the organization;
- ii. supervises and controls the work of other supervisory, professional, or managerial employees, or manages an essential function within the organization, or a department or subdivision of the organization;
- iii. if another employee or other employees are directly supervised, has the authority to hire and fire or recommend those as well as other personnel actions (such as promotion and leave authorization), or if no other employee is directly supervised, functions at a senior level within the organizational hierarchy or with respect to the function managed; and
- iv. exercises discretion over the day-to-day operations of the activity or function for which the employee has authority. A first-line supervisor is not considered to be acting in a managerial capacity merely by virtue of the supervisor's supervisory duties unless the employees supervised are professional.

Section 101(a)(44)(B) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(44)(B), provides:

The term "executive capacity" means an assignment within an organization in which the employee primarily-

- i. directs the management of the organization or a major component or function of the organization;
- ii. establishes the goals and policies of the organization, component, or function;
- iii. exercises wide latitude in discretionary

decision-making; and

iii. receives only general supervision or direction from higher level executives, the board of directors, or stockholders of the organization.

The petitioner described the beneficiary's proposed job duties as follows:

Mr. Rico's duties as president of the U.S. company include: general financial management of the company, negotiating with vendors and ordering supplies from them, reporting and managing the accounts payable, budgeting and managing the company's cash flow, hiring staff, directing the company's marketing activities in order to improve and promote the business, coordinating with the company's attorney and accountant to maintain the required corporate records for federal and state tax purposes, administering the periodical payroll, maintaining records to report to government agencies, working with the company's professional advisors and banks to review future opportunities for expansion of the business into other markets, and establishing a nationwide client base.

On appeal, counsel forwards documents to show that one additional employee has been hired at the firm and indicates that the paperwork related to the sale of equipment has been accomplished by a staff of four person at the parent company abroad. The record reflects that the corporation reported gross income of \$722,794 for tax year 2000 and paid only \$15,000 in total compensation during the entire year. The firm had no employees in the United States other than the beneficiary and suffered a net loss for the period.

In this case, the description of the beneficiary's job duties is insufficient to warrant a finding that the beneficiary will be employed in a managerial or executive capacity. It appears, at most, the beneficiary will be performing operational rather than managerial duties. The petitioner has provided insufficient evidence to establish that the beneficiary has been or will be managing or directing the management of a function, department, subdivision or component of the company.

Based upon the record, even if the one new employee is working on a full-time basis and some paperwork is being completed abroad, the petitioner has not provided evidence that the beneficiary will be managing a subordinate staff of professional, managerial or supervisory personnel who relieve him from performing non-qualifying duties. The beneficiary is the individual performing the necessary tasks for the ongoing operation of the company, rather than primarily directing or managing those functions through others. For this reason, the petition may not be approved.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.